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The general metric for conformally flat stationary cyclic symmetric noncircular spacetimes is explicitly given. In spite of the complexity introduced by the inclusion of noncircular contributions, the related metric is derived via the full integration of the conformal flatness constraints. It is also shown that the conditions for the existence of a rotation axis (axisymmetry) are the same ones which restrict the above class of spacetimes to be static. As a consequence, a known theorem by Collinson is just part of a more general result: any conformally flat stationary cyclic symmetric spacetime, even a noncircular one, is additionally axisymmetric if and only if it is also static. Since recent astrophysical motivations point in the direction of considering noncircular configurations to describe magnetized neutron stars, the above results seem to be relevant in this context.
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I. INTRODUCTION

One of the challenges in general relativity is the search for interior configurations describing isolated rotating bodies supporting their corresponding exterior gravitational fields. Usually, the description of these rotating configurations is done by means of circular spacetimes, i.e., stationary axisymmetric spacetimes where the metric, in addition to be time and rotation-angle independent, possesses also as isometries the inversions of time and of the rotation angle. It is worthwhile to mention that almost all the stationary axisymmetric configurations reported in the literature belong to this class.

Nevertheless, there is no room for the circular idealization when considering rotating neutron stars surrounded by strong toroidal magnetic fields ranging ~ 10^{16} to 10^{17} G, see also and references therein. The circularity condition is a very severe restriction, which fails to hold in spacetimes allowing the existence of toroidal magnetic fields and meridional flows. Thus, to deal with such astrophysical configurations one has to abandon the fulfillment of the circularity condition and consider in consequence the wider noncircular class of spacetimes.

Besides the above astrophysically motivated reasons to study noncircular configurations, there are also purely theoretical ones. As soon as Schwarzschild published his exterior spherically symmetric static solution, he was able to determine its interior solution modeled through a perfect fluid with homogeneous density. Later, on the light of the Petrov classification, it was established that the Schwarzschild solution belongs to Petrov type–D, while the interior Schwarzschild solution falls in the conformally flat family. In 1973 Kerr reported his famous stationary axisymmetric gravitational field corresponding to a field created by a rotating body; this solution also belongs to Petrov type–D. The search for the interior solution to the exterior Kerr metric began since that time. Collinson established that a conformally flat stationary axisymmetric spacetime is necessarily static. Some stationary axisymmetric Petrov–D metrics coupled to perfect fluid distributions have been reported in the literature, but none of them allows for the matching with the Kerr metric. Recently, the results of Ref. indicate that the matching between an interior noncircular spacetime with an exterior circular one is at least technically possible. This fact opens the possibility of searching for interior solutions within the noncircular class.

Moreover, the general metric for conformally flat stationary cyclic symmetric circular metric has been reported recently, see on this respect also and reference therein. For this metric, being cyclic but not axisymmetric, the circularity theorem does not hold because of the lack of a rotation axis. The next step in complexity, which is the main goal of the present work, is to determine the metric for conformally flat stationary cyclic symmetric noncircular spacetimes. In particular, from this more general result one is able to derive the particular circular branch, and if one requires the existence of an axis of symmetry one recovers the staticity property of the considered class of metrics, thus the Collinson theorem is just included within a more general result.

In the next section the mathematical preliminaries needed in order to study the spacetimes under consideration are introduced. Specifically, the physical and geometrical details behind the concepts of stationarity, cyclic symmetry, axisymmetry, circularity, and staticity are clearly stated in order to make the work self-contained. In Sec. the conformal flatness constraints, consisting in the vanishing of the complex Weyl compo-
ments, are fully integrated for any stationary cyclic symmetric spacetime including in general noncircular contributions. Sec. V is devoted to revise the conditions guaranteeing staticity on the obtained spacetimes, and in Sec. VI the locus of the axis of symmetry, for the configurations allowing one, is found. It is concluded that both physical situations occur for the same configuration. Some conclusions are given in Sec. VII. In appendix A the explicit form of the complex components of the Weyl tensor for a general, not necessarily circular, stationary cyclic symmetric spacetime are given. In appendix B, the problem is addressed for a singular case \((a = b)\) not included in the generic treatment.

II. STATIONARY CYCLIC SYMMETRIC SPACETIMES

In this section we characterize stationary cyclic symmetric spacetimes, see for example Ref. [10] for the original definitions. A spacetime is stationary if it admits an asymptotically timelike Killing field \(k\). A spacetime is called cyclic symmetric if it is invariant under the action of the one-parameter group \(SO(2)\), it is assumed that the corresponding Killing field \(m\) with closed integral curves is spacelike. A cyclic symmetric spacetime is named axisymmetric if the fixed point set of the \(SO(2)\) action, i.e., the rotation axis, is nonempty. A spacetime is called stationary cyclic symmetric (axisymmetric) if it is both stationary and cyclic symmetric (axisymmetric) and if the Killing fields \(k\) and \(m\) commute.

A stationary cyclic symmetric (axisymmetric) spacetime is said to be circular if the 2-surfaces orthogonal to the Killing fields \(k\) and \(m\) are integrable. This is equivalent to satisfy the Frobenius integrability conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{m} \wedge k \wedge dk &= 0, \\
\mathbf{k} \wedge m \wedge dm &= 0.
\end{align*}
\]

The circularity property means that locally the gravitational field is not only independent of time and the rotation angle, but, it is also invariant under the simultaneous inversion of time and the angle. Almost all the literature related to stationary cyclic symmetric (axisymmetric) spacetimes concerns only with the circular case. This is due in part for simplicity, since in this case it is possible to use the Lewis-Papapetrou ansatz for the metric.

As a last definition, a stationary spacetime is said to be static if the Killing field \(k\) is hypersurface orthogonal. This occurs if and only if it satisfies

\[
k \wedge dk = 0,
\]

and it is equivalent to demand that locally the gravitational field is not only time-independent but it is also invariant under time-reversal.

In this work we are interested in noncircular spacetimes, i.e., general stationary cyclic symmetric spacetimes not necessarily restricted to satisfy the Frobenius integrability conditions \([\mathbf{I}]\). The metric of such spacetimes can be written as

\[
g = e^{-2Q}\left(\frac{1}{a+b}[d\tau + a d\sigma + \text{Im}(M dz)]
\times [d\tau - b d\sigma - \text{Im}(N dz)]
+ e^{-2P} dz d\bar{z}\right),
\]

where \(a, b, P,\) and \(Q\) are real functions and \(M\) and \(N\) are complex ones. Here the bar means complex conjugation, and \(\text{Im}\) (respectively \(\text{Re}\)) denotes the imaginary (respectively real) part of a complex quantity. All the functions depend on the coordinates \(z\) and \(\bar{z}\) only, since the Killing fields realizing the stationary and cyclic isometries are \(k = \partial_\tau\) and \(m = \partial_\sigma\). The above metric has eight independent real functions, hence, diffeomorphism invariance allows to make two other gauge elections. This metric is invariant under the rescaling \(z \mapsto \int g(z)^{-1} dz\) together with the redefinitions \(P \mapsto P - \ln \sqrt{g(z)g(\bar{z})}, M \mapsto Mg(z),\) and \(N \mapsto Ng(z)\). We shall fix the gauge just after exploiting this special symmetry in our calculations.

The noncircularity of the above metric can be realized from the fact that the following quantities are not necessarily zero

\[
\begin{align*}
* (\mathbf{m} \wedge k \wedge dk) &= \frac{e^{2(P-Q)}}{2(a+b)} \text{Re}\left(\frac{\partial(M-N)}{\partial \bar{z}}\right)
- \frac{M+N(\partial(a-b))}{a+b} \ , \ (4) \\
* (k \wedge m \wedge dm) &= \frac{e^{2(P-Q)}}{2(a+b)} \text{Re}\left(\frac{\partial M}{\partial \bar{z}} + \frac{b \partial N}{\partial \bar{z}}\right)
- \frac{M+N(\partial(ab))}{a+b} \ , \ (5)
\end{align*}
\]

where the star stands for the Hodge dual.

In order to evaluate the Weyl tensor it is more convenient to use the Newman-Penrose formalism. One starts writing the metric as

\[
g = 2e^1e^2 - 2e^3e^4 ,
\]

using a complex null tetrad, which in the present case is chosen as

\[
\begin{align*}
e^1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-Q-P} \ dz, \\
e^2 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-Q-P} \ dz, \\
e^3 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(a+b)}} (d\tau - b d\sigma - \frac{Nd\bar{z} - \bar{N}dz}{2i}) , \ (7c) \\
e^4 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(a+b)}} (d\tau + a d\sigma + \frac{Mdz - \bar{M}d\bar{z}}{2i}) . \ (7d)
\end{align*}
\]

The related Weyl complex components are given in the Appendix A.
III. SOLVING THE CONFORMAL FLATNESS CONSTRAINTS

In order to find the general class of conformally flat stationary cyclic symmetric spacetimes we demand the vanishing of all the complex components of the Weyl tensor, i.e., $Ψ_0 = Ψ_4 = Ψ_2 = Ψ_1 = Ψ_3 = 0$, see Appendix A.

The complex components $Ψ_0$ and $Ψ_4$ are the same than in the circular case ($M = 0 = N$) studied in Refs. [4, 5]. Hence, initially, we shall apply the same strategy of these references. First, the vanishing of the following combinations

$$Ψ_0 - Ψ_4 = 2(a + b)e^{2Q} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{e^{2P}}{(a + b)2} \frac{\partial(a - b)}{\partial z} \right) = 0,$$

$$Ψ_0 \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} - Ψ_4 \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} = 2(a + b)e^{2(Q-P)} \times \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \frac{e^{4P}}{(a + b)2} \frac{\partial a \partial b}{\partial z \partial z} \right) = 0,$$ (8)

give rise to the following first order conditions

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} = \bar{g}(\bar{z})(a + b)^2 e^{-2P},$$ (10)

$$\frac{\partial a}{\partial z} \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} = \bar{h}(\bar{z})(a + b)^2 e^{-4P},$$ (11)

where $g$ and $h$ are integration functions.

Secondly, since the functions $a, b,$ and $P$ are real Eq. (10) implies

$$g(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(a - b) = \bar{g}(\bar{z}) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}(a - b).$$ (12)

Rescaling the complex coordinate by,

$$(τ, σ, z, ız) \mapsto (τ, σ, \int g(z)^{-1} dz, \int \bar{g}(\bar{z})^{-1} d\bar{z}),$$ (13)

the above equation allows to conclude that in terms of the new complex coordinate: $a - b = F(z + ız)$.

Using the rescaling (13), together with the redefinitions $P \mapsto P - \ln \sqrt{g(z)g(\bar{z})}$ and $\bar{h}(\bar{z}) \mapsto \bar{h}(\bar{z})/g(\bar{z})^2$, in Eqs. (10) and (11) is equivalent to put $g(z) = 1$ without loosing generality. This is due to the fact that metric (3), as it was previously anticipated, is invariant under such changes if we consider also the redefinitions $M \mapsto Mg(z)$ and $N \mapsto Ng(z)$.

Combining the fact that $a - b = F(z + ız)$ with the imaginary part of the component $Ψ_2,$

$$\text{Im}(Ψ_2) = \frac{e^{2(Q+P)}}{i(a + b)^2} \left( \frac{\partial a \partial b}{\partial z \partial z} - \frac{\partial a \partial b}{\partial z \partial z} \right) = 0,$$ (14)

we conclude that if $F \neq \text{const.}$ then $a = a(z + ız), b = b(z + ız)$, and consequently $P = P(z + ız)$ by virtue of Eq. (11).

In what follows we analyze the generic case $dF/dx \neq 0$, leaving the study of the special case $dF/dx = 0$ for the Appendix B.

With regard to the other integration function appearing in Eq. (11), since the left hand side of this equation is real then $\bar{h}(\bar{z}) = h(z) = \text{const.} \equiv \pm k^2,$ where $k \equiv \pm 1$ just encodes the sign of the constant (see Ref. [7] for the transcendence of this sign).

Using the real and imaginary parts of $z$ as coordinates, $z = x + iy$, Eqs. (10) and (11) are now expressed by

$$\frac{da}{dx} - \frac{db}{dx} = (a + b)^2 e^{-2P},$$ (15)

$$\frac{da}{dx} \frac{db}{dx} = \pm k^2(a + b)^2 e^{-4P}.$$ (16)

We are now ready to fix the gauge. We choose that the redefined complex functions $M$ and $N$, after the rescaling (13), are real functions. That is, our gauge elections are $M = M$ and $N = N$. It is important to emphasize that until is correct to make such election from the beginning, it is useless since we loose the scaling freedom in the metric which allows to fix one of the above integration functions. In terms of the real coordinates $x$ and $y$ the metric is written in this gauge as

$$g = e^{-2Q} \left(-\frac{1}{a + b} (dτ + a dσ + M dy) \times (dτ - b dσ - N dx) + e^{-2P} (dx^2 + dy^2) \right),$$ (17)

where now we have six real functions, $M, N,$ and $Q$ depending on $x$ and $y$, and $a, b, P$ depending just on $x$. In the above coordinates the four gauge elections are $g_{τx} = g_{xx} = g_{yx} = 0$ and $g_{zx} - g_{yy}$ is proportional to the remaining noncircular components.

Let us infer some consequences concerning the noncircular components of the metric. Using that $a = a(x), b = b(x),$ and $P = P(x)$ the real part of the following combination is written as

$$\text{Re}(Ψ_3 - Ψ_1) = \frac{\sqrt{a + b} e^{2Q(3+P)}}{8} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \left( \frac{M - N a}{a + b} \right) = 0,$$ (18)

which implies that the following function is separable in $x$ and $y$, i.e.,

$$\frac{M + N}{a + b} = F_1(x) + F_2(y),$$ (19)

where $F_1$ and $F_2$ are undetermined functions. Isolating $N$ from the above expression and inserting it in the real part of $Ψ_1$ we obtain

$$\text{Re}(Ψ_1) = -\frac{e^{2Q+3P}}{8\sqrt{a + b} \partial x \partial y} [M - (F_1 + F_2)a] = 0,$$ (20)

and hence

$$M(x, y) = [F_1(x) + F_2(y)]a(x) + F_3(x) + F_4(y),$$ (21)

where $F_3$ and $F_4$ is another pair of undetermined functions. Using Eq. (19). $N$ is given by

$$N(x, y) = [F_1(x) + F_2(y)]b(x) - F_3(x) - F_4(y).$$ (22)
The dependence on the coordinate $y$ of the functions $M$ and $N$ (and of the whole problem) is encoded in the functions $F_2$ and $F_4$. However, such functions can be eliminated by shifting appropriately the Killing coordinates, i.e., the coordinate change

$$(\tau, \sigma, x, y) \rightarrow (\tau + \int F_1(y)dy, \sigma + \int F_2(y)dy, x, y),$$

is equivalent to put $F_2 = 0 = F_4$. We would like to emphasize that due to the same argument the functions $F_1$ and $F_3$ must be determined up to the addition of constants factors; such constant factors can be included within the definitions of $F_2$ and $F_4$ and eliminated by the previous transformation. In summary, the only dependence on the coordinate $y$ of metric appears in the conformal factor $Q$.

Now, we apply the same strategy of Ref. [7], namely we first redefine the functions $a$ and $b$ by

$$a + b = 2kY, \quad a = k(Y + X),$$
$$a - b = 2kX, \quad b = k(Y - X).$$

Using the new functions $X$ and $Y$, Eqs. (25) and (26) are rewritten as

$$\frac{dX}{dx} = 2kY^2e^{-2P},$$
$$\frac{dY}{dx} = 2kY^2e^{-2P}.$$
V. AXISYMMETRY

Now we turn our attention to the existence of a rotation axis, i.e., there are conformally flat stationary axisymmetric configurations within the class \( \mathcal{M} \). It follows from the definition of Sec. IIII that the rotation axis is the spacetime region where the cyclic Killing field \( m \) vanishes. For metric \( \mathcal{M} \) its general stationary and cyclic Killing fields are a linear combination of the vectors \( \partial_t \) and \( \partial_\phi \). Hence, performing the transformation \((\tau = \alpha t + \beta \phi, \sigma = \gamma t + \delta \phi)\), where \( \alpha \delta - \beta \gamma \neq 0 \), the Killing fields are written as \( k = \partial_t \) and \( m = \partial_\phi \), respectively. In terms of the new coordinates, all the metric components \( g_{\phi\mu} = g(m, \partial_\mu) \) must vanish on the axis, which implies the following set of algebraic equations

\[
\begin{align*}
g_{\phi t} &= -ke^{-2Q}[\alpha \delta \gamma x + \alpha \beta \gamma e \gamma \delta] = 0, \quad (41a) \\
g_{\phi \phi} &= -ke^{-2Q}(\beta^2 + 2\beta \delta x + e \delta^2) = 0, \quad (41b) \\
g_{\phi y} &= ke^{-2Q}x(K_1 + K_0x) = 0. \quad (41c)
\end{align*}
\]

Isolating \( x \) from Eq. (41b) and inserting the result in Eq. (41a) we obtain

\[
\frac{(\alpha \delta - \beta \gamma)(\beta^2 - \epsilon \delta^2)}{\beta \delta} = 0, \quad (42)
\]

since \( \alpha \delta - \beta \gamma \neq 0 \) the above equation has nontrivial solutions only if \( \epsilon = 1 \). Using the above conditions in the remaining Eq. (41c) we obtain

\[
\delta(K_1 \mp K_0) = 0, \quad (43)
\]

which implies that the related rotation axis must be located at

\[
x = \mp1. \quad (44)
\]

Summarizing, metric \( \mathcal{M} \) describes a stationary axisymmetric spacetime only for \( \epsilon = 1 \) and \( K_1 = \pm K_0 \), i.e., the conditions for the existence of the rotation axis are the same than guaranty than the spacetime is static, see conditions (41).

As a consequence, the known Collinson theorem \([6, 7]\) not only is generalized to include configurations which are not necessarily circular, but, it is part of a more general statement: any conformally flat stationary cyclic symmetric spacetime, even a noncircular one, is additionally axisymmetric if and only if it is also static.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we study all the stationary cyclic symmetric spacetimes which are at the same time conformally flat. In contrast to previous work on the subject we consider also noncircular configurations. The conformal flatness is imposed by demanding the vanishing of the Weyl tensor. The resulting constraints are extremely involved by the inclusion of noncircular contributions, and leave us with a system of ten nonlinear pdes, see Appendix A. However, it is still possible to achieve its full integration as we show in detail at Sec. IIII. The class of obtained spacetimes is fully determined up to a conformal factor which respects the spacetime symmetries, and several integration constants. In particular, two integration constants characterize the noncircular behavior of these spacetimes, when they vanish we recover the circular configurations obtained in Ref. 2 by two of the authors.

We investigate the conditions allowing the existence of a rotation axis in the resulting configurations. The static spacetimes within the class are also considered. It results that the parameters election for these two physical situations is the same: \( \epsilon = 1 \) and \( K_1 = \pm K_0 \), i.e., the involved spacetimes are axisymmetric if and only if they are also static. Hence, one of the main result of the paper can be summarized in the following

**Theorem:** Any conformally flat stationary cyclic symmetric spacetime, even a noncircular one, is additionally axisymmetric if and only if it is also static.

With regard to the proper cyclic symmetric class (with no rotation axis, and by the above theorem containing necessarily nonstatic spacetimes) it will be interesting to investigate what kind of sources can solve Einstein equations with gravitational fields within this class. In the case that be possible to retain the noncircular contributions in this process, the derived configurations would corresponds to the first exact noncircular gravitational fields found in the literature.
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APPENDIX A: WEYL COMPLEX COMPONENTS

For the null tetrad the complex components of the Weyl tensor are given by
\[
\Psi_0 = \frac{2e^{2(Q+P)}}{a+b} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 a}{\partial z^2} + 2 \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} - \frac{2}{a+b} \left( \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right], \quad (A1)
\]
\[
\Psi_4 = \frac{2e^{2(Q+P)}}{a+b} \left[ \frac{\partial^2 b}{\partial z^2} + 2 \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} - \frac{2}{a+b} \left( \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} \right)^2 \right], \quad (A2)
\]
\[
6\Psi_2 = \frac{2e^{2(Q+P)}}{(a+b)^2} \left( 2(a+b)^2 \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial z^2} + 5 \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} \right),
\]
\[
-\frac{4e^{2Q+P}}{a+b} \Re \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial z} - \frac{M+N}{a+b} \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} \right)
- \frac{\partial N}{\partial z} - \frac{M+N}{a+b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} \right), \quad (A3)
\]
\[
2\Psi_1 = \frac{e^{2Q+P}}{i\sqrt{a+b}} \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} - \frac{e^{2Q+3P}}{i(a+b)^{3/2}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial z}
\times \Re \left[ \frac{\partial M}{\partial z} - \frac{3M+N}{a+b} \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} - 3 \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} \right], \quad (A4)
\]
\[
2\Psi_3 = \frac{i e^{2Q+P}}{\sqrt{a+b}} \frac{\partial P}{\partial z} \left[ e^{2P} \Re \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial z} - \frac{M+N}{a+b} \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} \right) \right]
- \frac{e^{2Q+3P}}{(a+b)^{3/2}} \frac{\partial b}{\partial z}
\times \Re \left[ \frac{\partial N}{\partial z} - 3 \frac{\partial M}{\partial z} - \frac{M+N}{a+b} \frac{\partial b}{\partial z} - 3 \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} \right]. \quad (A5)
\]

APPENDIX B: THE CASE \( a = b \)

In section it was shown that \( a - b = F(x) \) and the complete study of the case \( dF/dx \neq 0 \) was performed. Here, we concentrate in the special case \( F = \text{const.} \), such constant can be put to zero by shifting the timelike coordinate and redefining function \( a \) or function \( b \). Hence, this case is equivalent to have \( a = b \). Since now \( \Psi_0 = \Psi_4 \), equation \( \Psi_0 = \Psi_4 \), or its consequence \( \Psi_0 = \Psi_4 \), is pointless, which invalidates the coordinate transformation \( \Psi_0 = \Psi_4 \). This is the reason why this case must be studied separately. The vanishing of the \( \Psi_0 \) component for \( a = b \) implies
\[
\Psi_0 = e^{2Q} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( e^{2P} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \ln a \right) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial a}{\partial z} = g(z) a^{-2P}.
\] (B1)

We can take \( g(z) = 1 \) again, just applying the coordinate transformation together with the relevant redefini-
